

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,345	06/14/2007	Takashi Inubushi	09867/0204692-US0	5514
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 05/24/201 ARBY P.C	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770)	JONES, MARCUS D		
Church Street New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11011 10111,111	10000 0770		3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/596,345	INUBUSHI ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Marcus D. Jones	3714				
	10/596,345 Examiner	10/596,345 INUBUSHI ET AL. Examiner Art Unit			

	Marcus D. Jones	3714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 14 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.176), scalculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t			cause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 		ΓE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.		l be entered and an e	planation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
	/John M Hotaling II/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3714					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant asserts that "Hara does not disclose changing a content of attack control information when specific conditions corresponding to character identification information and attack content identification information are satisfied as recited in independent claim 1."

The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

As discussed in the Final rejection and in the Applicant's remarks, Hara states "The calculation unit changes the game parameter data for each player in accordance with the condition amount included within the game parameter data of the condition cand, (col 6, in 7-14)" The Examiner interprets this section as, each card has a specific attack condition which the calculation unit changes for a player when the card is used. Each player is unique and each attack is unique to the specific card. Both of these are satified and the calculation unit uses the condition amount information to calculate new parameter data.

The Applicant further asserts that "a specific disclosure in not required where one of ordinary skill in thart would readily appreciate the scope of the term "predetermined condition"

The Examiner again disagrees. While the Examiner does agree that one of ordinary skill in the art should be able to easily comprehend a predetermined condition, the passage lacks specific details pertaining to what this predetermined condition could be. For example, a predetermined condition could be scoring a certain number of points, level of game play, and/or a skill level. However, neither of these are positively claimed or described in the Specification.

The Applicant is invited to contact the Examiner for an interview if the Applicant feels that by doing so will further prosecution of this application...